## **CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (Cal EMA)**

### **RECOVERY ACT PROGRAM: Vertical Prosecution 09**

## PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT / SITE VISIT REPORT

1. GRANT AWARD NUMBER: VP09010420 DATE OF SITE VIST: 2/17 – 2/18/2010

**2. GRANT PERIOD:** 07/01/2009 to 09/30/2010

3. RECIPIENT/IMPLEMENTING AGENCY: Santa Barbara District Attorney's Office

**4. PROJECT DIRECTOR:** Joshua Lynn (Acting District Attorney)

PERSONS INTERVIEWED DURING SITE VISIT:							
NAME	TITL	<u>E</u>	<b>AGENCY</b>				
Jennifer Marttinen	D.A. Assistant Director		Santa Barba	ara D.A.s			
JoAnn Slattery	Busine	ess Manager	Santa Barba	ara D.A.s			
Megan Rheinschild-Riker	Progra	m Manager	Santa Barbara D.A.s				
Bob Lowry	D.A. Investigator		Santa Barbara D.A.s				
Cindy Nulman	Deputy District Attorney		Santa Barba	Santa Barbara D.A.s			
Terry Lopez	Victim Advocate		Santa Barba	ara D.A.s			
Signature of Program Specialist	Date	Signature of Section	n Chief	Date			
Signature of Project Representative	Date						

1. OPERATIONAL DOCUMENTS	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	N/A
. OTEMITION DOCUMENTS			
Review hard copy/verify the ability to access on line:			
• The Cal EMA Recipient Handbook (R.H.)			
The Approved Grant Award Agreement	$\boxtimes$		
• Is the project familiar with Office of Management and Budget,	$\boxtimes$		
OMB Circulars which govern your organization? Circulars may be			
found at www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars.			
Comments:			
2. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT – CEQA COMPLIANCE (R.H. Section	on 2153)		
	<u> </u>		
		$\square$	
<ul> <li>Does the project have their CEQA documentation on file?</li> </ul>			
Comments:			
3. PROOF OF AUTHORITY (R.H. Section 1350)			
3. TROOF OF AUTHORITT (R.H. Section 1330)			
• Does the project have a written authorization/resolution on file as	$\boxtimes$		
required by the Grant Award Agreement? *Ask for copy			
Comments:			
Comments.			
	<b>YES</b>	<u>NO</u>	<u>N/A</u>
4. ORGANIZATIONAL CHART			
7. ONUMILATIONAL CHART			
	$\boxtimes$		
Review the organizational chart. Are all budgeted positions	$\sim$		
• Review the organizational chart. Are all budgeted positions identified?			

## 5. Cal EMA MODIFICATION (Cal EMA 2-223)

<ul> <li>Review the purpose/preparation of Grant Award Modification     (Cal EMA 2-223). [R. H. Section 7500] (Instruct the project staff     on the procedure to obtain the most recent forms from Cal EMA     website.)     A modification is needed for the following:         <ul> <li>Budget changes</li> <li>Change in key personnel</li> <li>Adding/changing additional signers</li> <li>Change goals/objectives, or activities</li> <li>Address change</li> <li>Other</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
Comments:				
6. <u>PERSONNEL POLICIES</u>				
<ul> <li>Does the project staff have access to written personnel policies as required? [R. H. Section 2130]</li> <li>Do policies include:</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Do policies include:         <ul> <li>Maintenance of personnel files for all paid and volunteer staff including job applications, salaries, benefits, and current job duties/descriptions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>A current Drug Free Workplace policy statement on file</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
signed by the employee? [R. H. Section 2152]  O Work hours	$\boxtimes$			
<ul> <li>Compensation rates</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Overtime</li> <li>Did the Board approve the agency's current personnel policy?</li> </ul>				
Comments:				
	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>N/A</u>	
7. <u>FUNCTIONAL TIMESHEETS</u>				
• Does the project use functional timesheets for each grant funded position less than 1 FTE? OR Time Study Allocation plan updated within the last 2 years? [R. H. Section 11331]				
• Are timesheets (paid staff & volunteer) signed by staff & approved by supervisor? (Review timesheets to ensure they are signed by the staff and supervisor)				
Comments:				

## 8. <u>DUTIES OF FINANCIAL OFFICER AND BOOKKEEPER</u> $\boxtimes$ Are the duties of the financial officer and the bookkeeper separate to ensure no one person has complete authority over a financial transaction? (show breakdown of payouts) o Name of the title of the person who approves purchases. **County Auditor** o Name of the title of the person who writes checks. Jennifer Marttinen • Name of the title of the person(s) who signs checks. Jennifer Marttinen Comments: \_\_\_ 9. SOURCE DOCUMENTATION-Fiscal [R. H. Section 11000] Does the project maintain a record-keeping system which will accurately support costs claimed on Report of Expenditure and Request for Funds (Cal EMA Form 2-201)? Comments: 10. PROJECT EXPENDITURES Is the project's expenditure rate commensurate with the elapsed period of the grant? • Are the project's expenditures being made in accordance with the terms of the Grant Award Agreement? • Does the project need to submit a Grant Award Modification Request (Cal EMA Form 2-223)? Is the project up-to-date with the submission of Cal EMA Form 2-201? YES NO N/A Comments: Project will need to submit a Modification sometime around June due to staff changes. 11. MATCH REQUIREMENTS Does the project have a match requirement? Is the project meeting the match requirement? Review the supporting documentation to substantiate cash or in-kind match.

## 12. EEO POLICY

• Go over EEO checklist. (Separate document)	$\boxtimes$		
Comments:			
13. RECOVERY ACT SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION			
<u> </u>			
<ul> <li>Does the project maintain auditable documentation supporting all reported data, including job data. (Separate document)</li> </ul>			
Comments:			
(FEDERAL REPORTING FTE'S JOBS CREATED AND/OR MAINTAINED)			
PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW			
GENERAL	YES	<u>NO</u>	N/A
<ul> <li>PROGRAM GOALS AND OBJECTIVES</li> <li>Review the goals and objectives of the program and the</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$		
<ul> <li>programmatic requirements of the Grant Award Agreement. Is the project meeting the program goals and objectives?</li> <li>Does the project staff need to submit Cal EMA Form 2-223 to modify their grant objectives?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$		
Comments:			
2. PROGRESS REPORT			
• Discuss and review the programmatic Progress Report requirements.			
Comments:			
3. SOURCE DOCUMENTATION-Programmatic			
• Is the project maintaining a record keeping and data collection process that will accurately support the project's reported data on the Progress Report form?			
<ul> <li>Review the project's file system and data collection process.</li> </ul>			

Comments: Santa Barbara District Attorney's office uses a program called D.A.M.I.O.N. to track all their cases from start to finish.

# 4. OPERATIONAL AGREEMENTS $\boxtimes$ Does the project have current Operational Agreements as required by the Grant Award Agreement? (Page 7 RFP) 5. PROJECT STAFF DUTIES Interview project staff and discuss their duties and the relationship to $\bowtie$ the grant. Are employees performing duties as stated in the Grant Award Agreement? Comments: SUPPLEMENTAL PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW **PROJECT OBJECTIVES** A. Review the project's progress in accomplishing its objectives. B. Is the unit concentrating prosecution efforts and resources on individuals that are accused of serious crimes against women? $\boxtimes$ Yes No If no, explain C. Is the prosecutor or prosecution unit making the initial filing or appearance in a case, and performing all subsequent court appearances on a particular case through to its conclusion including the sentencing phase? Yes No If no, explain D. Did the unit assign highly qualified prosecutors and investigators to the specific category of cases? $\square$ Yes No If no, explain

If no, explain

C.

1.

Yes

No

E. Did the unit maintain a reduction of caseloads for its prosecutors and investigators?

F. Were prosecutors and investigators exclusively assigned to prosecute violations of violent crimes					imes		
	against women?	Yes	$\boxtimes$	No			
	If no, explain						
G.	Are full-time positions split-funded? [Positions may be split-funded Of insufficient to support full-time staff positions]	NLY w	hen gra	nt fund	s are		
	If yes, explain	Yes		No			
Н.	Has the agency established a personnel rotation policy for vertical pros	secution	staff?				
	If no, explain	Yes		No			
If s	so, does the rotation policy demonstrate a commitment to stability and c  If no, explain	ontinui Yes	ty of sta	iff assig No	nments?		
I.	What is the degree of Vertical Prosecution?						
	<ul> <li>a. <u>True Vertical Prosecution</u></li> <li>Does the same prosecutor file the charges? Or, does the same prosecutor make the first appearance (after the defendant is identified as meeting the necessary criteria) AND make all</li> </ul>						
	subsequent court appearances through the sentencing stage?  If no, explain	Yes		No			
	b. Major Stage Vertical Prosecution  Does the same prosecutor file the charges? Or, does the same papearance (after the defendant is identified as meeting the necessignificant appearances, such as: preliminary hearing, trial sentential affecting bail, admissibility of evidence, dismissal of charges, or consolidate, discovery, setting aside the verdict, or motions of the same papearance.  If no, explain	essary of encing, change	criteria) contest of venue	AND a ed moti e, motic	ons ons to sever		
	c. <u>Unit Vertical Prosecution</u> Is the principal prosecutor who filed charges assisted by no model.  If no, explain	re than Yes	one oth	er unit : No	attorney?		

	If so, is this back-up attorney designated for the entire grain	it award Yes	period	.? No	
REC	DUIRED POLICIES				
	Are all reasonable prosecutorial efforts will be made to resist pre-trial	release	of a ch	arged d	lefendant
V	who meets program priority selection criteria?  If no, explain	Yes		No	
	Are all reasonable prosecutorial efforts being made to reduce the time	betwee	n arrest	t and di	sposition o
С	harges against an individual, meeting the program priority criteria?  If no, explain	Yes		No	
C. A	Are all funded prosecutors and investigators maintaining a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are also as a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutor and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors and a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosecutors are a <u>reduced</u> call funded prosec	seload? Yes		No	
D. A	Are all of these policies in writing, and available to unit staff and Cal  If no, explain	EMA? Yes	$\boxtimes$	No	
	s the agency actively and regularly participating in its city's and/or co	ounty's	violenc	e again	st women
iı	If no, explain	Yes		No	
F. D	Does the agency keep on file documentation that records the participal of the partic	tion, e.g Yes	g. meeti	ng min No	utes?

2.

## 3. <u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>

A. If the agency is in a county that does not have an existing interagency task force, has the agency					
	developed the community partnerships?	Yes		No	
	If no, explain				
B.	How are cases referred to the unit?	<b>3</b> 7		NT	
	If no, explain	Yes		No	
C.	What are the sources of the referrals?	*7		<b>.</b>	
	If no, explain	Yes		No	
D.	What are the project strategies to develop linkages to increase the num	ber of c	cases ref	erred/p	rosecuted?
E.	How does the project ensure all victims are provided either direct servictim services agencies?	ices or 1	referrals	to appı	opriate
F.	Are current resumes and duty statements maintained on site by the proto this project? [Each position must be identified by staff name, perce performed.]			-	_
	If no, explain	Yes	s 🖂	No [	]
G.	Is the project staff accomplishing its objectives consistent with the terr		e grant		greement?
	If no, explain	Yes		No	
Н.	Does the project need to submit Cal EMA Form 223 to modify their gr	ant obj Yes	ectives?	No	$\boxtimes$
	If no, explain	100		110	<u>~_</u> V

## PROJECT STAFF DUTIES

A.	A. Interview project staff and discuss their duties and the relationship to the grant. Are employees					
	performing duties as stated in the Grant Award Agreement?  If no, explain	Yes		No		
B.	Does the project provide assistance in filing application for Victim Com If no, explain	ipensati Yes	ion?	No		
C.	Does the project provide assistance, advocacy and support during judici	al proce Yes	eedings	? No		
D.	Does the project use volunteers?  If no, explain	Yes		No	$\boxtimes$	
E.	Have all employees/volunteers completed the required background checkers of the second	eks? Yes		No		
F.	Does the project staff have documentation supporting the completion of	the rec	quired c	hecks?		
	<ul> <li>Reference Checks</li> <li>Criminal Background Check (if staff/volunteers have resided in out-of-state criminal history checks are also required)</li> </ul>	Califo	rnia for	less tha	an 3 years,	
	<ul> <li>Department of Motor Vehicle Checks</li> <li>If no, explain</li> </ul>	Yes		No		
G.	Have volunteers completed the required training prior to client contact?  If no, explain Not applicable.	Yes		No		

DDITIONAL NOTES:	
ROGRAMMATIC REVIEW	
DMINISTRATIVE REVIEW	
DDITIONAL COMMENTS	